# **DEPOSITION OF BARBARA GOODWIN**

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

DAVID DAVIS,	(COPY
Plaintiff,	)
VS.	) CIVIL ACTION
PHENIX CITY, ALABAMA, et al.	) FILE NO. 3:06-CV-00544-VPM
Defendants.	)

Oral Deposition of MS. BARBARA GOODWIN, Defendant, called by the Plaintiff, before Courtney Tillman Peters, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Alabama, taken at the City of Phenix City Hall, 601 12th Street, Phenix City, Alabama 36867, on the 5th day of April, 2007, commencing at 10:07 a.m. EST.

> COURTNEY TILLMAN PETERS Certified in Alabama & Georgia CAUSEY & PETERSON CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS Post Office Box 81 Columbus, Georgia 31902 (706) 317-3111

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
3	For the Plaintiff: MR. THOMAS A. WOODLEY Woodley & McGillivary 1125 Fifteenth Street, NW
4	Suite 400 Washington, D. C. 20005
5	Also Present: MR. DAVID DAVIS
6 7	For the Defendants: MR. JAMES R. MCKOON, JR. McKoon, Thomas & McKoon
8	925 Broad Street Phenix City, Alabama 36867
9	MR. JAMES P. GRAHAM, JR.
10	Graham Law Offices 712 13th Street
11	Phenix City, Alabama 36867
12	Also Present: ROY WATERS WALLACE HUNTER
13	H. H. ROBERTS
14	
15	
16	INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS
17	WITNESS/ATTY DIR CR RD RC
18	Goodwin (Woodley) 6
19	
20	
21	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
22	INDEX NO. PAGE
23	INDEX NO. PAGE Exhibit 35 (Retained by Attorney Woodley) 28
24	
25	
AMPRIMENOVALAGINAL ANA ANA ANA	

## STIPULATIONS

2

3

1

IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel appearing for the respective parties that:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4

- 1) The oral deposition of MS. BARBARA GOODWIN, Defendant, called by the Plaintiff, taken before Courtney Tillman Peters, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Alabama, at 601 12th Street, Phenix City, Alabama 36867, commencing at 10:07 a.m. EST, on the 5th of April, 2007;
- 2) ALL FORMALITIES with reference to notice of taking, notice of time and place of taking, qualifications of the Court Reporter, and all other matters precedent to the taking of depositions are WAIVED;
- 3) ALL OBJECTIONS, EXCEPT as to the form of the question and responsiveness of the answer, are RESERVED to the time of the hearing of the case;
- 4) ALL FORMALITIES with reference to the filing of depositions, including notice of filing, etc., are WAIVED;
- With the consent of deponent, the reading and signing of the deposition by deponent is NOT WAIVED;

23

24

# WHEREUPON, the deposition of Ms. Barbara Goodwin, beginning at 10:07 a.m. EST, occurred as follows:

MS. BARBARA GOODWIN

Having been first duly sworn, testified upon examination, as follows:

MR. WOODLEY: Ms. Goodwin, could you please state your full name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Barbara Tillery Goodwin.

MR. WOODLEY: Ms. Goodwin, my name is Tom Woodley and I'm one of the attorneys for the plaintiff, Mr. David Davis in this lawsuit.

Have you, before now, had an opportunity to spend some time with the City's attorneys concerning the nature of this case and what the procedures are we'll be following in your deposition this morning.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

T

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODLEY: So is it fair to say you have a basic understanding what the issues are and the claims are in this lawsuit.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. WOODLEY: Have you ever had your deposition taken before in another case?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. WOODLEY: Would that be more than once?

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. WOODLEY: What kind of case was the previous case?

THE WITNESS: To tell you the truth, I don't remember. It was very -- testified for about 10 minutes and they decided that my testimony was not needed.

MR. WOODLEY: Let me extend just to make sure we're on the same page in your deposition, go through a couple of the basic points and procedures. I will be asking a number of questions, and we expect you to give the answers. And everything that we say here in your deposition will be taken down by this very able court reporter, and she will put it in a transcript form so that perhaps as early as next week, you will have a chance to review and sign that transcript of your deposition. Do you understand that.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. WOODLEY: If at any time you don't hear or understand one of my questions, stop me immediately and I will be more than happy to repeat or rephrase that question. Do you understand that.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. WOODLEY: Are you under any medication or do you have any medical condition that might impair your ability to understand and respond to my questions.

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. WOODLEY: And most importantly, of course, you have just been sworn under oath so you are obligated under the potential penalty of perjury to give truthful and honest answers. Do you understand that.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. WOODLEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What is your current occupation?
- A. Work for the City of Phenix City as Personnel Director.
  - Q. And how long have you held that position?
  - A. Approximately four and a half years.
  - Q. And what did you do before that?
- A. I was the Office Manager for the Building Department with the City of Phenix City.
- Q. And how long approximately did you hold that position?
  - A. I was employed in January of 1990.
  - Q. '90?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is the position of Personnel Director also called the Director of Human Resources?
  - A. Yes.

- That's one in the same? 0.
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. And what are the basic responsibilities 0. that you have as the City's Personnel Director?
- We take applications for employment. We handle all the benefits for our city employees. We handle workman's comp claims. We just basically do the -- all the, as far as when an applicant is hired by the City, we handle their personnel file. We administer the benefits, handle the BlueCross BlueShield. In general, the clerical work that is provided in the personnel file.
- In your position as Personnel Director for the 0. City of Phenix City, do you play a role concerning possible discipline of city employees?
- We document the discipline of each employee as it comes from the various departments.
- Okay. Is that essentially an administrative task Q. that you perform?
  - A. Yes.
- 0. Do you have any authority to approve or impose any sort of discipline on city employees?
  - A. No.
- Let me invite your attention to a binder of exhibits that we have in front of you, and the City attorneys at the table also have a binder of exhibits for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their review. And I want you to open that blue binder.

If you would turn to Exhibit No. 5, please. And this 2

appears to be a job description for a Director of Human

Resources. Would that be the job description that you

have for your position as the Director of Personnel?

- Yes. A.
- And it indicates here that you report to and are 0. accountable to the City Manager; is that accurate?
  - A. Yes.
  - Have you seen this job description before today? Q.
  - Yes. A .
- And in your view, is this essentially accurate concerning the duties and responsibilities that you have as a Personnel Director?
  - Α. Yes.
- So just so I'm clear and the record's clear, you 0. do not have any authority to hire or fire city employees; is that correct?
  - No, that's correct. A.
- Now, Ms. Goodwin, are you aware that the plaintiff in this case, David Davis, who's seated at my right, was employed by the City's fire department for about eight years?
  - Yes. A .
  - Okay. And are you aware that during his Q.

employment with the city, he was promoted to the rank of sergeant in the fire department?

Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bear with me for just one second here. Okay. Ms. Goodwin, let me invite your attention to Exhibit No. 18, also in the binder in front of you. This appears to be a memorandum from Deputy Chief Roy Waters to Fire Chief Wallace Hunter dated February 6, 2006. And the re: line is Letter to Mr. H. H. Roberts. Have you seen this memo before today?

And, by the way, I should say when I ask you to review these documents, take as much time as you need to look through those documents before you feel comfortable in responding to my questions?

- I believe this letter was submitted to my office Α. as a part of David's personnel file.
  - Okay. So you have seen this before today? Q.
  - Α. Yes.
- You will note at the very bottom of this memo 0. from Deputy Chief Waters to Chief Hunter, it says as follows, "As I have communicated to you on several occasions, David Davis is doing an outstanding job for me and has a very positive and professional attitude." You see where it says that?
  - A. Yes.

	Q.	Base	ed	upon	the	ini	Eorma <sup>.</sup>	tion	that	you	have	, would
you	agree	or	đi	sagre	e w	ith	that	asse	essmer	nt o	E Mr.	Davis
perí	orman	.ce a	a,S	a fir	refic	aht∈	er on	the	job?			

- A. I do not have direct communication with the firefighter on the job. I'm not capable of answering that question.
- Q. Okay. And so that would be true even given the information that you have today, you're not in a position to know whether or not that's an accurate or an inaccurate statement or assessment of Mr. Davis' job performance?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. And, Ms. Goodwin, at some point in time, did you become aware that the firefighters employed by the City of Phenix City formed a labor organization or a labor association?
- A. I am aware that there are some firefighters that are members of the Firefighters Union, yes.
- Q. Now, in terms of just a year, do you remember what year you became first aware that they had a labor association?
  - A. The year I became Personnel Director.
  - Q. And what year again was that?
  - A. 2002.
- Q. Okay. Do you know who might have been the president of that labor association of firefighters in the

year 2002?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I do not. Α.
- Okay. Did you also, at some point in time, become aware that Mr. David Davis, the plaintiff in this case, became an officer or leader of the Firefighters Labor Association?
  - Yes. A.
- And when you became aware of that, do you recall what position or office he held in the labor association?
- At some point in time, I believe Mr. Davis on an occasion had mentioned that he was president of the local union I believe was.
- Does the City recognize the Firefighters Labor Association as a union representative of its members?
  - NO. A.
- Did you ever attend or participate in any meetings where the Firefighters Labor Association was proposing certain proposals or raising certain concerns?
  - A. No.
  - You never did that at any time? Q.
  - A. No.
- Okay. Are you aware that the police officers 0. employed by the City of Phenix City also have an association that they're members of?
  - I believe they have a Fraternal Order of Police.

	[]
	2
	3
	4
	5
	б
	7
	8
	9
-	0
J	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
7	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

24

- I don't -- I'm not familiar with it or have no knowledge of their operations.
- Have you ever had occasion to meet with the leaders of the Fraternal Order of Police representing the police officers here?
  - A. No.
- Okay. Let me direct your attention, if I may, to Exhibit No. 12. I'm sorry, Exhibit No. 11. And this appears to be a letter or memo from David Davis, then the vice president of Local 3668, the Phenix City Firefighters Association dated January 25, 2005 and addressed to then Fire Chief Jerry Prater. Have you ever seen this memo or letter before today?
  - (Witness peruses document.) Yes.
- Okay. Were you ever asked to do anything concerning the issues that were addressed or raised by Mr. Davis and the labor association?
- Chief Prater and I had a meeting with David Davis A. to talk about issues that had nothing to do with the union. It would be any of these issues that were in direct reference to the City and the merit system, not with the union.
- Do you recall if in that meeting if Mr. Davis was there in his capacity as a representative of the other firefighters or any association?

22

23

24

25

1

2

- Mr. Davis was on duty that day and he was there as far as I knew as a City of Phenix City employee.
- And did he raise issues that might have affected other firefighters in the department beyond just himself?
- He -- some of the issues had to do with management and merit system that would affect other employees.
- And in that meeting, did Mr. Davis raise some of the points or issues that are outlined in this Exhibit No. 11?
- Mr. Davis raised issues that are addressed in this letter. Some were addressed by Chief Prater, some were not. The ones that had something to do with the union was not addressed.
- Which issues did Mr. Davis and Chief Prater address in this meeting that are outlined in this Exhibit No. 11?
- Safety issues were discussed to the extent that A. they held safety meetings on a regular basis in the department to deal with the safety issues. Salaries and benefits were discussed as far as that being management decisions. Trade time was discussed as being a management decision. Communication was discussed. And I believe that's as far as I can remember what was discussed in that meeting.

	1		
è	J	_	

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

- And those issues raised by Mr. Davis and 0. discussed at the meeting with Chief Prater and yourself, is it fair and accurate to say that those were issues that would have affected other firefighters and perhaps members of the labor association?
- They would have affected all employees of the City, not just firefighters.
- Okay. But did Mr. Davis raise any points that were directly related to other city employees besides the fire department or was he just focused on the fire department personnel?
- Well, as far as him directly mentioning other employees, I don't recall that. But all answers and issues that were presented by Chief Prater were in reference to merit system and management of the City.
- And does that meeting occur after the date of this memo from Mr. Davis, Exhibit No. 11, and that date again is January 25, 2005?
- I don't remember the exact date, but I know that we had this memo prior to that meeting.
- Okay. And after that meeting concluded, did you have any other follow-up meetings that you may have attended with Mr. Davis or any other members or leaders of the Firefighters Labor Association?
  - In reference to the association?

Ç	Q.	Well	L, no	ot lim	ited	to	the	ass	socia	tion.	I	just
mean	meet	ings	in	which	comn	non	issu	ies	like	those	ac	ldressed
in th	ne me	emo v	ære	discus	ssed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

- I had no meetings -- no meeting with that, with other members of the fire department in reference to these issue.
- And no further meeting with Mr. Davis in reference to issues affecting firefighters that you attended?
- Other than -- now, I did have another meeting with Mr. Davis in reference to personnel issues.
  - His individual personnel issues? 0.
  - Α. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- But in general, did you have any follow-up meetings that you attended where Mr. Davis was there and issues affecting the firefighters in general were discussed?
  - Α. Not that I can recall.
- Okay. Now, when this particular meeting that you just testified about was concluded, did you take any further action as the personnel director to follow up on these questions that were discussed?
  - No. A.
- Do you know if Chief Jerry Prater took any further actions to address these issues?

A. I do not know.

Q. Now, let me direct your attention, if I may, to another Exhibit No. 14, which is a newspaper article that appeared in the Columbus Ledger-Enquirer, and my understanding is that this was printed in the newspaper in the month of September 2005. And you will see the title is "Three-Alarm Turmoil". And it discusses comments made by Mr. Davis and other firefighters as well as Chief Hunter about issues impacting the fire department. Do you recall having seen this newspaper article when it came out?

- A. I do not recall. I may I have, may not have. I do not recall the article.
  - Q. Have you seen it before today?
- A. Like I said, I could have seen if in the newspaper but it's -- I do not right offhand recall this article.
- Q. Okay. Have you seen this article in connection with your preparation for this deposition?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever been interviewed by the media concerning issues that might affect the City or city employees?
- A. No. I have been -- have been approached but I don't talk to the media. I refer all comments to the City

Manager.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. Did you ever attend any meetings or participate in any discussions in which the substance of this newspaper article was addressed?
  - A. No.
- Okay. So you never talked to, for example, City 0. Manager Roberts about some of the comments made by the firefighters and Mr. Davis in this newspaper article?
- I do not recall that article. I do not recall A. talking to anyone about this article.
- Okay. Do you know if the City, as its Personnel Director, has any policy or rules and regulations about speaking or not speaking to the media about issues affecting their employment or their department?
- It's the policy of the City for any Α. communications with the media to go through our City Manager.
- Could you elaborate on that. I'm not sure what 0. you mean in terms of that limitation or restriction.
- If anyone from the media, news media, TV media, A. anyone came to me to ask me any questions concerning the City policies or City business, I would refer all comments to our City Manager.
- And does that restriction or limitation appear in 0. a written policy or regulation of the City?

- A. There is a directive that went out from the City Manager concerning speaking with the media that all employees have signed and it is in -- made a part of their personnel file.
- Q. And that memo that you are referring to, is that a prohibition or restriction that applies to all city employees that they are not permitted to speak to the media about any issues and that they are --
  - A. There's not direct verbiage of that, you know.
- Q. Have to wait until I finish my questions and then start your answers so the reporter can take the information down.
  - A. I'm sorry.
- Q. So, Ms. Goodwin, as I understand your testimony just a moment ago, you recall a memorandum that was issued by the City Manager, Mr. Roberts, to all city employees giving them directions and instructions about not communicating with the media; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And that memo is applicable and that restriction is applicable to all city employees, not just fire department employees but all city employees; correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, what would happen if a city employee departed from that memorandum and that policy and spoke

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

directly to a media representative about any issues affecting that individual's department for the City? Would there be a possible disciplinary action or investigation?

- A. Possible.
- Okay. And what would the potentially the highest form of discipline that might be visited upon at that employee if he or she spoke to the media about issues affecting the City or the City's department?
- I would have to -- do you have a copy? I would have to read that directive.
  - Q. Let's go off the record for a minute. (Recess was taken.)
- All right. Mrs. Goodwin, we're back on the 0. record. And we're going to come back in a few to the memorandum that you were just testifying about that was apparently distributed to city employees about the prohibitions concerning communications with the media by city employees.

Let me invite your attention to Exhibit No. 15, which is a memorandum from Fire Chief Wallace Hunter addressed to members of Phenix City Fire Department dated September 20, 2005. Have you seen that document before today?

- Α. I have.
- And what's your basic understanding of the Q.

purpose and intent of that memorandum?

- (Witness peruses document.) The memorandum I A. believe, in my opinion, was sent out to set some quidelines and procedures as far as employees dealing or having contact with the news media in regards to city items and functions.
  - City's what? 0.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Items, anything dealing with the City as far as communications between employees and the news media.
- Okay. Did you play any role or participate in the preparation of this memorandum?
  - I did not. Α.
- Did you play any role or participate in the preparation of the memorandum that was distributed to all other city employees by the City of Phenix City?
  - I did not. Α.
- Did you participate in any meetings when this 0. subject matter of restrictions on employees talking to the news media was discussed?
  - Α. No.
- Now, based upon your experience here as the 0. Personnel Director for the City, if a firefighter employed by the City's fire department departed from the limitations in this memorandum and spoke directly to the news media about an issue that impacted the fire

24

25

department, perhaps an issue of public concern regarding the fire department, would that firefighter have violated this restriction and be potentially subject to discipline?

- Yes. A.
- And would that discipline potentially include up 0. to firing or termination?
  - A. Yes.
  - 0. Okay.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

- All right. Ms. Goodwin, we're back on the record 0. and the City's attorneys have been kind enough to furnish me an additional copy of the memorandum that yesterday we marked as Exhibit No. 34, and I want to show it to you. It appears to be a memorandum issued by City Manager Roberts to all city employees dated September 20, 2005. And this particular one has been signed by a city employee named Ruth Freidman with a date that she signed it apparently on September 22, 2005. Is that the memorandum that you were referring to earlier in your testimony that was distributed to all city employees?
  - (Witness peruses document.) Yes. A.
- And the intent or purpose of that memorandum is 0. to prohibit, if you will, city employees from talking directly to the news media about any issues affecting the City or their department of employment; is that correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

77

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- In my opinion, it is the purpose to set out and give employees guidance as to the procedures of speaking with the news media.
- Okay. When you say quidance, isn't it a prohibition that they're not permitted to speak to the media and they are expected and required to refer all City contacts to the City Manager?
- I believe the merit system outlines procedures as far as filing grievances and there is a chain of command that has to be followed.
- Okay. I'm not sure I follow your testimony right now. I thought you indicated earlier, and you correct me if I'm wrong, that the purpose of this memorandum issued by Mr. Roberts to all city employees is to prevent them from talking to the news media about issues affecting the City or their department of employment and that they are required to refer those inquiries from the news media to the City Manager's office. Is that an accurate --
- Α. I said earlier that any -- any requests that came to me through the media, I directed mine directly to the City Manager. The City Manager is my immediate supervisor.
- Q. Okay. Well, with regard to other city employees, all other city employees, what are they expected to do if they are contacted by the news media concerning issues

related to their employment or related to their department?

- A. They are expected to follow the chain of command.
- Q. Refer it up to their supervisor?
- A. From their supervisor to their department head, from their department head to the City Manager.
- Q. Okay. Are department heads permitted to talk to the news media or are they required too, as you apparently are, required to refer those inquires to the City Manager?
- A. It's my opinion that the department head would be required to speak with the City Manager before speaking out on City issues.
  - Q. Before speaking out to the media?
  - A. Correct.

Frank

- Q. What about in the area of speaking to the public such as community or neighborhood meetings? Are you permitted, on your own, at your own discretion, to go to a community or neighborhood meeting and talk about City issues or are you required to clear that first through the City Manager?
- A. Any time I would speak out on any issues involving the City, I would go to mister -- to my immediate supervisor, being the City Manager in my case, and get approval from him before speaking on City issues.
  - Q. Okay. Is that also applicable to other city